

ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

RECEIVED

**COMMISSIONERS**

GARY PIERCE - Chairman  
PAUL NEWMAN  
SANDRA D. KENNEDY  
BOB STUMP  
BRENDA BURNS

2011 JAN 12 P 3: 54

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 12 2011

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER  
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2011  
RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARD  
IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND  
DISTRIBUTED ENERGY  
ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN AND REQUEST  
FOR RESET OF RENEWABLE ENERGY  
ADJUSTOR.

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-10-0266

STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR  
PROCEDURAL ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC

On December 22, 2010, Staff filed a request for procedural order nunc pro tunc in the above captioned matter. That filing was to resolve a clerical error involving the inadvertent inclusion of an amendment in the signed order that was withdrawn during the Open Meeting discussion of the item. Staff has since been made aware of a second clerical error made within Decision No. 72033. With respect to Tucson Electric Power Company's ("TEP" or "Company") request for approval of the School Vocational Program ("SVP"), Staff recommended approval of the SVP as noted at page 15:23-24 of the proposed order filed on November 9, 2010. *See also* Open Meeting Memorandum filed November 4, 2010, Docket No. E-01933A-10-0266 at page 4.

Staff specifically recommended against approving a similar program for UNS Electric in its 2011 REST Implementation Plan because of the close relationship between TEP and UNS Electric and because Staff anticipated the program would be approved for TEP. *See* Open Meeting Memorandum filed November 8, 2010, Docket No. E-04204A-10-0265 at page 3. However, the proposed order inadvertently denied approval of the SVP for TEP at page 16:24-25. As is apparent from the discussions in the Open Meeting Memoranda for the TEP and UNS Electric 2011 REST Implementation Plans, the proposed order was intended to grant approval of the program for TEP.

During the Open Meeting held on November 22 and 23, 2010, the proposed order was approved with various amendments. However, none of the amendments dealt with the SVP.

1 Consequently the erroneous ordering paragraph was incorporated into the Decision at page 19:21-22.  
2 Staff believes the denial of the SVP in Decision No. 72033 was a clear clerical mistake. Therefore,  
3 Decision No. 72033 should be corrected nunc pro tunc to approve the SVP as the discussions within  
4 the order at page 15:18-19 and the Open Meeting Memorandum for the TEP REST Implementation  
5 Plan at page 4 contemplate.

6 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

7  
8 

9 Charles H. Hains  
10 Attorney, Legal Division  
11 Arizona Corporation Commission  
12 1200 West Washington Street  
13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
14 (602) 542-3402

13 **Original and thirteen (13) copies**  
14 **of the foregoing filed this**  
15 **13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011, with:**

16 Docket Control  
17 Arizona Corporation Commission  
18 1200 West Washington Street  
19 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

20 **Copy of the foregoing mailed this**  
21 **13<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011, to:**

22 Bradley Carroll  
23 SNELL & WILMER  
24 One Arizona Center  
25 400 East Van Buren Street  
26 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

27 C. Webb Crockett  
28 Patrick J. Black  
FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC  
3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

Michael W. Patten  
ROSHKA DeWULF & PATTEN, PLC  
One Arizona Center  
400 East Van Buren Street, Ste. 800  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

1 Philip Dion  
Melody Gilkey  
2 Tucson Electric Power Company  
One South Church Avenue, Ste. 200  
3 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1623

4 Scott Wakefield  
RIDENOUR, HIENTON & LEWIS, PLLC  
5 201 North Central Avenue, Ste. 3300  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1052

6 Court Rich  
7 M. Ryan Hurley  
ROSE LAW GROUP  
8 6613 North Scottsdale Road, Ste. 200  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85250

9  
10  
11 Roseann Osorio